

TOWARDS A PRINCIPLE-BASED APPROACH FOR CASE-BASED REASONING

LEILA AMGOUD, VIVIEN BEUSELINCK

ANITI, UNIVERSITÉ FÉDÉRALE (FRANCE)

09/10/2022

Case-based reasoning (CBR) is the process of solving new problems based on the solutions of **similar** past problems.

- Many practical applications (Law, Medicine, ...).
- A plethora of CBR models.

- Few works on theoretical foundations of CBR.
- Lack of formal comparison with non monotonic reasoning (NMR).

Case-based reasoning (CBR) is the process of solving new problems based on the solutions of **similar** past problems.

- Many practical applications (Law, Medicine, ...).
- A plethora of CBR models.

- Few works on theoretical foundations of CBR.
- Lack of formal comparison with non monotonic reasoning (NMR).

The paper bridges the two gaps.

- Introduction
- Basic assumption of CBR \Rightarrow 4 notions
- Axioms for CBR
- Summary

EXAMPLE ¹

Cases	Years old	Power	Mileage	Equip	Shape	Price
C_1	1	1300	20 000	poor	good	8000
C_2	2	1600	30 000	excellent	poor	7000
C_3	2	1600	40 000	good	good	5000
C_4	3	1500	60 000	excellent	poor	5000
C_n	2	1600	50 000	poor	good	?

¹Didier Dubois, Francesc Esteva, Pere Garcia, Lluís Godo, Ramón López de Mántaras, Henri Prade. Case-Based Reasoning: A Fuzzy Approach Fuzzy Logic in Artificial Intelligence. IJCAI Workshop 1997

FEATURES AND INSTANCES

- **Features** : $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n, f\}$
- **Domains** : $dom(x)$ returns the domain of feature $x \in \mathcal{F}$.
 $dom(f)$ is the set of possible outcomes
- **Literals** : Every pair (f, v) such that $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{f\}$ and $v \in dom(f)$
- **Instances** : A set of literals, where each feature f_1, \dots, f_n appears exactly once.
Inst : The set of all possible instances.
- **Case** : $c = \langle l, v \rangle$ such that $l \in Inst$ and $v \in dom(f) \cup \{?\}$
Past Case : When $v \in dom(f)$
New Case : when $v = ?$
- **Case Base** : A set of n past cases.

SIMILARITY MEASURES

Two similarity measures

- (S^i) : For comparing attributes-values.
- (S^o) : For comparing prices.

Two thresholds :

- x is **dissimilar** to y iff $S^i(x, y) < \delta^i$
- v is **dissimilar** to v' iff $S^o(v, v') < \delta^o$

Example :

$$S^o(u, v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |u - v| \leq 500 \\ 0 & \text{if } |u - v| \geq 2000 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{1500} * (|u - v| - 500) & \text{if } 500 < |u - v| < 2000 \end{cases}$$

Theory

A theory is a tuple $\mathbf{T} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \text{dom}, S^i, S^o, \delta^i, \delta^o \rangle$.

"The more similar the cases, the more similar their outcomes".

Four notions that capture the assumption.

- Consistency
- Strong Coherence
- Weak Coherence
- Regularity

Idea: Similar input data receive similar outcomes

Consistency

A case base Σ is **consistent** iff $\forall \langle I, v \rangle, \langle I', v' \rangle \in \Sigma$, if $\mathbf{S}^i(I, I') = 1$ then $\mathbf{S}^o(v, v') = 1$. It is **inconsistent** otherwise.

Property

If a case base Σ is consistent, then $\forall \langle I, v \rangle, \langle I', v' \rangle \in \Sigma$, if $I = I'$, then $\mathbf{S}^o(v, v') = 1$.

STRONG COHERENCE

Strong Coherence

A case base Σ is **strongly coherent** iff $\forall \langle I, v \rangle, \langle I', v' \rangle \in \Sigma$,
 $\mathbf{S}^i(I, I') \leq \mathbf{S}^o(v, v')$.

Example

Strong Coherence is violated because $S^o(C_1, C_3) = 0$ (Case $|u - v| > 2000$ with $|8000 - 5000| = 3000$)

Property

Let Σ be a strongly coherent case base. For all $\langle I, v \rangle, \langle I', v' \rangle \in \Sigma$, if $\mathbf{S}^i(I, I') = 1$, then $\mathbf{S}^o(v, v') = 1$.

Idea: similar input data should receive similar outcomes

Weak Coherence

A case-base Σ is **weakly coherent** iff $\forall \langle I, v \rangle, \langle I', v' \rangle \in \Sigma$, if $S^i(I, I') \geq \delta^i$, then $S^o(v, v') \geq \delta^o$.

Example

With $\delta_i = \frac{4}{5}$ and $\delta_o = \frac{3}{5}$, the case base satisfies Weak Coherence.

WEAK COHERENCE

Suppose we have a case base on student grades. There are 4 attributes corresponding to courses with a mark; the outcome is an appreciation whose range consists of 4 qualitative levels. The similarity measure \mathbf{S}^i takes the minimal value returned by \mathbf{S} on the four courses. Assume Σ contains two students who got respectively $l = \langle 20, 20, 20, 20 \rangle$ and $v = \text{"excellent"}$ as global appreciation, and $l' = \langle 20, 20, 15, 15 \rangle$ with appreciation $v' = \text{"good"}$. Hence, $\mathbf{S}^i(l, l') = 0.75$ and $\mathbf{S}^o(v, v') = \frac{2}{3}$. Note that the base is not strongly coherent. In order to be coherent, $\mathbf{S}^o(v, v')$ should be equal to 1, which is not reasonable in the example as the two instances are different and deserve different appreciations. Furthermore, the scale of \mathbf{S}^o does not have an intermediate value between $\frac{2}{3}$ and 1.

Regularity

A case-base Σ is **regular** iff $\forall \langle I, v \rangle, \langle I', v' \rangle, \langle I'', v'' \rangle \in \Sigma$, if $S^i(I, I') \geq S^i(I, I'')$ then $S^o(v, v') \geq S^o(v, v'')$.

Example

Regularity is violated because $S^i(C_2, C_3) > S^i(C_2, C_1)$ but $S^o(C_2, C_3) < S^o(C_2, C_1)$.

- Strong coherence implies consistency
- Strong coherence implies Weak Coherence if $\delta^i \geq \delta^o$
- Others are independent.

CBR model

Let $\mathbf{T} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \text{dom}, \mathbf{S}^i, \mathbf{S}^o, \delta^i, \delta^o \rangle$ be a theory. A CBR model is a function R mapping every case base Σ and new case $\langle I, ? \rangle$ into a set $O \subseteq \text{dom}(f) \cup \{\text{Und}\}$ such that $O \neq \emptyset$ and either $O = \{\text{Und}\}$ or $O \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$. We write $\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, R} O$.

Strong Completeness

$\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} O$ with $O \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$.

Weak Completeness

$\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \{\text{Und}\}$ iff $\forall \langle I, v \rangle \in \Sigma, \mathbf{S}^i(I_n, I) < \delta^i$.

Property

- *if a model \mathbf{R} satisfies weak completeness, then $\emptyset \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \{\text{Und}\}$.*
- *Strong completeness and weak completeness are incompatible*

CBR AXIOMS (CONT.)

Consistency

Let Σ be consistent and $\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \vdash_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} O$ such that $O \neq \{\text{Und}\}$. For any $v \in O$, $\Sigma \cup \{\langle I_n, v \rangle\}$ is consistent.

Strong Coherence

Let Σ be strongly coherent and $\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \vdash_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} O$ such that $O \neq \{\text{Und}\}$. For any $v \in O$, $\Sigma \cup \{\langle I_n, v \rangle\}$ is strongly coherent.

CBR AXIOMS (CONT.)

Weak Coherence

Let Σ be weakly coherent and $\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \vdash_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} O$ such that $O \neq \{\text{Und}\}$. For any $v \in O$, $\Sigma \cup \{\langle I_n, v \rangle\}$ is weakly coherent.

Property

Let $\mathbf{T} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \text{dom}, \mathbf{S}^i, \mathbf{S}^o, \delta^i, \delta^o \rangle$ be a theory such that $\delta^i \geq \delta^o$. If a CBR model satisfies strong coherence, then it satisfies weak coherence.

Regularity

Let Σ be regular and $\Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \vdash_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} O$ such that $O \neq \{\text{Und}\}$. For any $v \in O$, $\Sigma \cup \{\langle I_n, v \rangle\}$ is regular.

Monotonicity

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{O} \\ \Sigma \subseteq \Sigma' \end{array} \right. \implies \Sigma' \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{O}$$

Property

If a CBR model satisfies weak completeness, then it violates monotonicity.

Cautious Monotonicity

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma \oplus \langle I, ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \{V\} \\ \Sigma \oplus \langle I', ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \{V'\} \end{array} \right. \implies \Sigma \cup \{\langle I, V \rangle\} \oplus \langle I', ? \rangle \sim_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}} \{V'\}$$

Property

Strong coherence and cautious monotonicity are incompatible.

Conclusion

- A proposal of some axioms for CBR.
- Highlighting some differences with non Monotonic Reasoning.

Challenges

- More axioms for CBR.
- Characterization of formal models that satisfy the axioms.